

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,526	07/24/2007	Jean-Luc Soulard	PF040046	2770
24498 7590 02/16/2011 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC			EXAMINER	
			FARAHMAND, ASHIL S	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2472	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/593,526	SOULARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
ASHIL FARAHMAND	2472	

	ASHIL FARAHMAND	2472					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.19 after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the state of	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. sely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Jul	ne 2010.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowand 	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-2.4-8 is/are pending in the application	ın.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-2.4-8 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
	ologion roquiomoni.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	•		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 ivotice of informal Patent Application 	
Paper No/s\/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 06/24/2010, with respect to claims 1 and 8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections have been withdrawn.

Drawings

The Examiner recommends including a flow chart as a drawing to illustrate the method of claim 8.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-2, 4-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The Examiner notes that many of the objections against independent claim 1 apply to claim 8 as well.

In claim 1, line 1, the Examiner recommends inserting "A" before "Device" in line 1 for clarity.

In claim 1, line 1, the Examiner recommends inserting -- , the device receiving packets, wherein each incoming data packet comprises a time label, the device -- between "network" and "comprising" for better clarity and also recommends removing the corresponding language from lines 3-4.

In claim 1, line 5, the Examiner recommends removing "and" and inserting a comma.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,526

Art Unit: 2472

In claim 1, lines 7 and 11, the Examiner recommends removing the term "(IPDV)" from the claims. The Examiner notes that the term "predetermined time" is interpreted broadly herein.

In claims 1 and 8, based on the claim language alone, it is indefinite whether the storage capacity or the predetermined time is "dependent on characteristics of the network." However, in light of Applicant's arguments, it is clear that the storage capacity is dependent on network characteristics. The Examiner recommends amending the claim to make this dependence explicit. The Examiner recommends inserting -- , said storage capacity -- between "(IPDV)" and "dependent".

In claim 8, the Examiner recommends referring to "the local clock" as -- the local reception clock -- to maintain consistency.

In claim 1, line 9, the Examiner recommends replacing "label" with -- labels -- for clarity.

From Applicant's claim, it appears that multiple time labels are used to regenerate the clock (packets in line 9 is plural).

In claim 1, line 16, the Examiner recommends replacing "said difference" with -- said differences -- in order to highlight the fact that a plurality labels from a plurality of packets are used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2472

2.

Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "accumulating said difference between the time labels of

the incoming data packets and the local reception clock" in lines 16-17. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In lines 14-15 of the claim, it appears that the

difference is calculated between "the time label and the regenerated local reception clock." The

Examiner notes that the same issue arises with respect to claim 8 as well.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art does not disclose, alone or in combination, a device for temporal slaving in

a packet data transmission network, the device receiving packets from the network, where each

incoming data packet comprises a time label, the device comprising:

a local reception clock;

a means of temporary storage for receiving packets from said network and said storage

having a storage capacity for recording data received for a predetermined time (IPDV) dependent

on characteristics of the network.

a means for regenerating a local reception clock as a function of the time label of the

incoming packets.

a means for reading the data in the means of temporary storage at an instant dependent on the said predetermined time (IPDV) and on the regenerated local reception clock:

wherein the means for regenerating a local reception clock comprises

a differentiator for calculating a difference between the time label and the regenerated local reception clock.

a means for accumulating said difference between the time labels of the incoming data packets and the local reception clock during a period of time and

 a decision means for comparing the said accumulated difference and the local clock and modifying the regenerated local reception clock according to said comparison.

The prior art also fails to disclose a method for performing the device's functionality.

It is noted that the closest prior art, Cloutier et al. (US 5966387), discloses a device for regenerating a local clock based on the difference between incoming time labels and a local clock.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/593,526

Art Unit: 2472

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sundqvist et al. (US 2007/0206645 A1) discloses a variable size buffer, wherein the size is dependent on the delay variation of network traffic.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ASHIL FARAHMAND whose telephone number is (571)270-7079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Trost/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2472